



TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING

February, 2019

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[®]
(GPI Ranking[®])

Table of Contents

Top 30 of The General Performance Ranking[®] - 2019 Season, February	3
Table 1. General Performance Ranking[®] → GPI Ranking[®]	4
Table 2. Performance of the 1st Serve In (%)	5
Table 3. Performance of the 2nd Serve (%).....	7
Table 4. Performance of the 1st Serve Won (%PW)	8
Table 5. Performance of the 2nd Serve Won (%PW)	9
Table 6. Performance of the Break Points Saved (%BPS)	10
Table 7. Performance of the Break Points Won (%BPW)	11
Table 8. Performance of the 1st Return Won (%RW)	12
Table 9. Performance of the 2nd Return Won (%EW)	13

MONTHLY REPORT

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[©] (GPI Ranking[©])

2019 SEASON, FEBRUARY

General Performance Index[©]

(**GPI: General Performance Index**): *"It is an index that measures the performance that a tennis player has had in a given period of time."* This index, not shown here, is used to make the "**General Performance Ranking[©]** or **GPI Ranking[©]**".

In February we have a podium formed by the Argentine **Guido Pella**, the French **Gael Monfils** and the young Greek **Stefanos Tsitsipas**. These three tennis players have been, during the tournaments that began in February, the most outstanding in terms of performance, leading almost all the tables in this report. **Guido Pella** has been the absolute leader in the **General Performance Ranking[©]** leading all the tables referring to performance in the different aspects considered in this report.

This **GPI Ranking[©]** of February is so curious because in the **Top 30** there are only 2 **Top 10**, **Alexander Zverev** (3) and **John Isner** (9) with a **GPI Ranking[©]** of 23 and 8 respectively (Table 1).

Another tennis player, who has begun to demonstrate his promising tennis conditions, is the young Canadian **Felix Auger-Aliassime**, who reached the final on the ATP 500 of the Rio Open falling defeated by the Serbian **Laslo Djere** by 3-6, 5-7. He also achieved quarterfinals in the ATP 250 of the Brasil Open during the month of February being defeated by the same opponent, **Laslo Djere** in three sets, 2-6, 6-3, 3-6.

¹ Report elaboration based on data from official Websites:
www.ATPTour.com
www.DavisCup.com

² National Tennis Coach (Argentine Tennis Association), Bachelor of Business Administration, Certified Public Accountant, Post grades of Finance Management and Capital Markets, Master of Business Administration (IAE Business School, Austral University, Buenos Aires, Argentina).

TABLE 1

GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[®] → GPI Ranking[®]

GPI Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48
2	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23
3	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11
4	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52
5	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60
6	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59
7	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39
8	John	Isner	United States	11	9
9	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61
10	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92
11	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15
12	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35
13	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66
14	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118
15	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86
16	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42
17	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37
18	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111
19	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69
20	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32
21	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49
22	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25
23	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3
24	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56
25	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88
26	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24
27	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71
28	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108
29	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72
30	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58

TABLE 2
PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st SERVE IN (%)

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	66,6%
2	John	Isner	United States	11	9	73,5%
3	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	61,2%
4	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	64,6%
5	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	69,0%
6	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	67,9%
7	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	65,3%
8	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	64,5%
9	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	59,8%
10	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	63,7%
11	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	57,5%
12	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	62,0%
13	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	66,8%
14	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	59,3%
15	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	65,6%
16	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	65,0%
17	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	57,2%
18	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	60,8%
19	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	60,2%
20	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	57,9%
21	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	56,9%
22	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	63,6%
23	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	62,0%
24	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	60,4%
25	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	68,7%
26	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	68,0%
27	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	64,0%
28	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	63,6%
29	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	55,2%
30	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	54,7%

I suppose that those who read this report have already read the previous ones, so we will not abound in reiterative and tedious explanations. The mathematical model has been proven and there is no reason to doubt about it.

For example, someone could question why **Isner** is in position 2 (Table 2) if he has a 73.5% percentage of the first service and **Pella** is ranked 1 with 66.6%. The answer is that **Pella** played more matches and the mathematical

formulas that calculate the indexes consider specially the number of matches played because it would imply that a player that played a certain number of matches is due to his performance was good, otherwise he would have been eliminated in the preliminary rounds of the draws. However, if the matches difference is not very significant, it may be the case that a player, **Isner** in this example, although he played fewer matches than **Monfils**, obtained better **GPI Ranking**[®]. This is due to the fact

that the absolute difference of the percentage of the first service is so significant that it reverts the sense of the formula and the weight of matches played gives place to this significant difference and a player with a lower number of matches can surpass another for that reason. There is no need to fear, the formulas are controlled, if that is the result it is because it is mathematically correct.

Continuing with Table 2, but it is valid for the other tables, let's see another example, an extreme case. Suppose that player **A** has an average percentage of first services of 65% during the period "x" and, during this period, **A** played 35 matches (no matter how many matches he won in this case, that would be another calculation). On the other hand, player **B**, in the same period of time "x", obtained an average percentage of first services of 85% and played 15 matches. Here there are two ways to see things, one is to determine a ranking of players with the best average percentage of first services, in this case without doubt **B** with 85% is better than **A** who obtained 65%. The other way of looking at this situation is to establish a performance ranking weighted by the number of matches played. It would be necessary to see if **B** obtains 85% of first services playing 35 matches, if that were the case, **B** would obtain a better **GPI Ranking**® than **A**, surely. It is the same underlying concept in an investment portfolio composed of two types of shares, A and B. The shares type A have an annual yield of 10% and the type B shares

have an annual yield of 20%. If the composition of the portfolio of investments had 70% of shares type A and 30% of shares type B, the weighted yield of the investment portfolio would be: $0.7 \times 10\% + 0.3 \times 20\% = 13\%$ (Figure 1).

Figure 1

	A	B
part. %	70%	30%
yield	10%	20%
	7,0%	6,0%

Portfolio return = 7% + 6% = **13%**

Although share A has lower yield, it contributes more to total portfolio return than share B (7% vs 6%). In this example, the participation of the shares in the investment portfolio would be equivalent to the number of matches played and the yield of the shares would be equivalent to the average percentage of first services in Table 2. This same concept is applied to the other aspects in the following tables.

With these clarifications I will finish this cycle of explanations and those who have any questions can write me to the e-mail: tennis-stats@tennistop10.com, and I will gladly explain any questions you may have.

TABLE 3

PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd SERVE IN (%)

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	93,8%
2	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	88,6%
3	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	92,4%
4	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	97,7%
5	John	Isner	United States	11	9	94,2%
6	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	93,4%
7	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	91,7%
8	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	90,7%
9	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	90,4%
10	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	87,3%
11	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	95,6%
12	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	93,8%
13	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	93,1%
14	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	93,0%
15	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	96,9%
16	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	92,6%
17	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	92,6%
18	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	91,2%
19	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	89,0%
20	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	88,9%
21	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	87,2%
22	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	96,6%
23	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	93,1%
24	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	92,5%
25	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	90,7%
26	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	88,5%
27	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	92,2%
28	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	90,4%
29	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	90,0%
30	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	88,3%

TABLE 4

PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%PW
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	70,3%
2	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	73,5%
3	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	77,9%
4	John	Isner	United States	11	9	83,3%
5	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	77,8%
6	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	71,6%
7	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	71,4%
8	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	70,3%
9	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	69,2%
10	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	68,8%
11	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	83,3%
12	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	74,9%
13	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	74,4%
14	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	72,7%
15	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	79,8%
16	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	66,9%
17	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	74,1%
18	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	73,0%
19	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	71,7%
20	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	77,7%
21	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	88,1%
22	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	67,9%
23	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	75,5%
24	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	84,6%
25	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	72,4%
26	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	71,5%
27	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	63,3%
28	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	80,5%
29	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	70,4%
30	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	71,0%

TABLE 5
PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%PG
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	54,6%
2	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	64,3%
3	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	51,6%
4	John	Isner	United States	11	9	60,2%
5	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	59,3%
6	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	53,7%
7	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	52,0%
8	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	50,4%
9	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	53,9%
10	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	48,3%
11	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	52,4%
12	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	52,2%
13	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	51,6%
14	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	56,6%
15	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	55,8%
16	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	45,5%
17	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	55,3%
18	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	58,4%
19	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	51,0%
20	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	54,2%
21	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	54,1%
22	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	47,1%
23	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	47,1%
24	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	58,3%
25	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	49,8%
26	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	49,0%
27	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	55,9%
28	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	43,1%
29	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	54,7%
30	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	49,4%

TABLE 6

PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS SAVED (%BPS)

BPS Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%BPS
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	61,3%
2	John	Isner	United States	11	9	76,0%
3	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	62,9%
4	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	66,0%
5	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	67,4%
6	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	64,9%
7	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	63,0%
8	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	61,0%
9	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	66,7%
10	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	60,0%
11	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	65,3%
12	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	59,2%
13	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	64,1%
14	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	69,0%
15	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	65,5%
16	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	57,7%
17	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	62,5%
18	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	62,5%
19	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	78,4%
20	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	67,6%
21	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	66,7%
22	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	65,7%
23	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	61,8%
24	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	54,2%
25	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	59,5%
26	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	63,6%
27	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	63,6%
28	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	47,2%
29	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	45,7%
30	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	58,3%

TABLE 7

PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS WON (%BPW)

BPW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%BPW
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	47,1%
2	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	44,3%
3	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	46,8%
4	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	43,0%
5	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	42,9%
6	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	37,5%
7	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	37,3%
8	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	45,6%
9	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	37,1%
10	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	45,0%
11	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	36,2%
12	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	39,7%
13	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	44,1%
14	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	35,7%
15	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	55,0%
16	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	38,0%
17	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	42,0%
18	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	41,2%
19	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	36,8%
20	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	45,5%
21	John	Isner	United States	11	9	31,5%
22	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	43,2%
23	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	42,9%
24	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	37,7%
25	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	35,8%
26	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	38,9%
27	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	43,3%
28	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	32,7%
29	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	35,4%
30	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	30,0%

TABLE 8

PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	% RW
1	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	35,6%
2	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	31,9%
3	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	33,8%
4	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	33,3%
5	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	32,1%
6	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	28,9%
7	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	31,1%
8	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	33,7%
9	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	36,3%
10	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	34,8%
11	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	31,2%
12	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	31,1%
13	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	33,3%
14	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	27,1%
15	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	28,7%
16	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	25,1%
17	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	30,2%
18	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	29,7%
19	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	33,3%
20	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	29,6%
21	John	Isner	United States	11	9	23,0%
22	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	27,6%
23	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	30,7%
24	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	33,0%
25	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	27,8%
26	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	29,5%
27	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	25,8%
28	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	24,4%
29	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	22,7%
30	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	20,5%

TABLE 9
PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%RW
1	Guido	Pella	Argentina	14	48	55,1%
2	Gael	Monfils	France	13	23	51,6%
3	Mikhail	Kukushkin	Kazakhstan	11	39	55,8%
4	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	12	11	49,7%
5	Jaume	Munar	Spain	11	61	51,5%
6	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	10	15	56,4%
7	Pablo	Cuevas	Uruguay	11	59	51,0%
8	Felix	Auger-Aliassime	Canada	11	60	50,8%
9	Radu	Albot	Moldova	11	52	50,6%
10	Christian	Garin	Chile	11	92	50,2%
11	Juan Ignacio	Londero	Argentina	10	66	54,2%
12	Marton	Fucsovics	Hungary	10	35	51,7%
13	Albert	Ramos-Vinolas	Spain	10	86	50,3%
14	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	9	25	54,2%
15	Aljaz	Bedene	Slovenia	8	69	58,7%
16	Fernando	Verdasco	Spain	9	32	51,0%
17	John	Isner	United States	11	9	41,6%
18	Roberto	Carballes Baena	Spain	9	111	50,8%
19	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	49	50,6%
20	Laslo	Djere	Serbia	9	37	49,0%
21	Mackenzie	McDonald	United States	8	71	52,4%
22	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	9	118	46,4%
23	Stan	Wawrinka	Switzerland	9	42	46,3%
24	Alexander	Zverev	Germany	7	3	57,7%
25	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	8	88	49,2%
26	Matteo	Berrettini	Italy	8	56	48,0%
27	Casper	Ruud	Norway	7	108	54,7%
28	Denis	Shapovalov	Canada	8	24	47,3%
29	Nick	Kyrgios	Australia	7	72	44,7%
30	Reilly	Opelka	United States	7	58	42,3%