



TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING

January, 2019

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[®]
(GPI Ranking[®])

Table of Contents

Top 30 of The General Performance Ranking[®] - 2019 Season, January	3
Table 1. General Performance Ranking[®] → GPI Ranking[®]	5
Table 2. Performance of the 1st Serve In (%)	6
Table 3. Performance of the 2nd Serve (%).....	8
Table 4. Performance of the 1st Serve Won (%PW)	9
Table 5. Performance of the 2nd Serve Won (%PW)	11
Table 6. Performance of the Break Points Saved (%BPS)	12
Table 7. Performance of the Break Points Won (%BPW)	13
Table 8. Performance of the 1st Return Won (%RW)	14
Table 9. Performance of the 2nd Return Won (%EW)	15

MONTHLY REPORT

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[®] (GPI Ranking[®])

2019 SEASON, JANUARY

General Performance Index[®]

(**GPI: General Performance Index**): *"It is an index that measures the performance that a tennis player has had in a given period of time."* This index, not shown here, is used to make the "**General Performance Ranking[®]** or **GPI Ranking[®]**".

This index considers, for its calculation, a series of aspects such as the number of matches played and won, the serves, the points earned with the serves, the returns, the break points (saved and won) and the tiebreaks. Once these indexes are calculated to certain period, January 2019 in this case, these indexes are ordered from highest to lowest and a ranking is established. This ranking is the **General Performance Ranking[®]** or **GPI Ranking[®]** that is used to order the players by merit of performance in January, in this case. As already it mentioned in other articles, the **WTA Ranking** necessarily not should coincide with the **General Performance Ranking[®]**, in fact, rarely happens. This **General Performance Ranking[®]** is very useful because it allows analyzing and quantifying what has been the performance of an athlete in relation to the other

players that have played in the same period of time.

From our studies, it emerges that the podium in the **GPI Ranking[®]** on January, is formed by **Petra Kvitova** (CZE), **Bianca Andreescu** (CAN) and **Aryna Sabalenka** (BLR). The latter, **Sabalenka**, from Belarus, has been emerging since the last year as one of the candidates with a promising projection and she is holding the **WTA Ranking** 10 at the end of January of this season.

On the other hand, the Czech **Petra Kvitova** is recovering lost ground in recent months, starting this season with the best **GPI Ranking[®]**. Kvitova has been the player with the most matches played and, as will be seen later, she has been the absolute leader in all the performance tables that we have prepared in this report.

¹ Report elaboration based on data from official Websites:
www.AusOpen.com
www.WTAtennis.com

² National Tennis Coach (Argentine Tennis Association), Bachelor of Business Administration, Certified Public Accountant, Post grades of Finance Management and Capital Markets, Master of Business Administration (IAE Business School, Austral University, Buenos Aires, Argentina).

The Canadian **Bianca Andreescu** began escorting **Kvitova** at the begin of the season.

Six (6) **Top 10** have reached to belong to the **Top 30** of the January **General Performance Ranking**[®]: **Naomi Osaka** (1), **Petra Kvitova** (2), **Sloane Stephens** (4), **Karolina Pliskova** (5), **Kiki Bertens** (8) and **Aryna Sabalenka** (10). Between brackets, the **WTA Ranking** at the end of January.

The tables with the **General Performance Ranking**[®] or **GPI Ranking**[®] give an idea of the performance of the **TOP 30** in the main aspects such as service, return and break points. For each aspect shown in the tables, the respective **GPI Ranking**[®] has been calculated.

There is an index for each aspect that measures the performance in them, beyond the calculation of the **General Performance Ranking**[®] or **GPI Ranking**[®] that includes all the mentioned aspects (Services, return, break points).

Table 1 shows the **General Performance**

Ranking[®] of the thirty (30) best players in the tournaments that started in January. Note that, in general, the players with the best **GPI Ranking**[®] are the ones that have played the greatest number of matches. This is because the formulas used to calculate the **General Performance Index**[®] or **GPI** consider the number of matches played, which implies that if a player has played many matches in a period of time under analysis is because she has had good performance, otherwise, she would have been eliminated in the preliminary rounds. That is to say, given a certain number of tournaments in which a player has participated, the **General Performance Index**[®] is greater if the player has won as many matches as possible. This comment must be kept in mind when analyzing the tables because there are cases in which a player, in a particular aspect, has a smaller measure than another, but having more matches played the calculation of the index places her in a more advantageous position in the **GPI Ranking**[®]. We will mention it opportunely.

TABLE 1

GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING[©] → GPI Ranking[©]

GPI Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	WTA Rkn
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2
2	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68
3	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10
4	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47
5	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5
6	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1
7	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8
8	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30
9	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32
10	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36
11	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60
12	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49
13	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31
14	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33
15	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14
16	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97
17	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13
18	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16
19	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29
20	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202
21	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62
22	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15
23	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24
24	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45
25	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23
26	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20
27	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21
28	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4
29	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76
30	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71

TABLE 2

PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st SERVE IN (%)

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matched	WTA Rkn	%
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	64,5%
2	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	63,8%
3	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	68,4%
4	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	62,2%
5	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	65,2%
6	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	74,0%
7	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	59,7%
8	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	64,7%
9	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	58,8%
10	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	63,4%
11	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	57,6%
12	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	62,3%
13	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	59,7%
14	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	56,3%
15	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	62,4%
16	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	65,8%
17	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	64,5%
18	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	64,1%
19	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	72,1%
20	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	62,0%
21	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	61,8%
22	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	68,9%
23	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	68,6%
24	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	59,0%
25	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	65,7%
26	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	64,6%
27	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	55,7%
28	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	63,0%
29	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	54,4%
30	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	53,2%

Table 2 is presenting the Performance of the 1st Service in (%) or Percentage of the 1st Service. Note that the podium of the three (3) best players during the month of January was **Petra Kvitova**, **Aryna Sabalenka** and **Bianca Andreescu**. Practically, these three players have led the **GPI Ranking**[®] of most of the tables presented in this report.

For all the statistical calculations, all the players who participated in the tournaments that started in January have been taken. In total

there were 185 players. While this report presents the **Top 30** performance, in the calculations have consider the 185 players. For reasons of space, the **GPI Ranking**[®] has not been shown for the rest, but the results are all relative to the total number of players (185).

I assume that those who read this report are people who understand tennis, so many explanations are not necessary. It is easy to see that those players who in **Table 1** have the best **GPI Ranking**[®] also stand out in the

rankings of the other aspects such as services, return, points earned with serves, etc. Anyway, we will see the evolution month by month to determine the trend of the players. At the end of the season we can quantify the regularity of the players.

It is appropriate to make clear one aspect of the tournaments included in the month under analysis. The criterion adopted have been include in each month the tournaments that start that month, independently they finish the next month. For example, a tournament that begins in month 1 and ends in month 2,

is considered within month 1. This is so to allow a player who reaches decisive instances and having matches played in month 1 and in the month 2. Once again, in order not to interrupt the series of matches, the criterion of considering in each month only the matches that begin in that month was adopted. There is the exception of January, which can also include matches from the month of December. There are tournaments in Australia and New Zealand that start at the end of December and finish January of the following year. In this case, as already mentioned, the criterion adopted is to include those matches in the month of January.

TABLE 3

PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd SERVE IN (%)

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	WTA Rkn	%
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	86,6%
2	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	89,9%
3	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	88,8%
4	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	93,7%
5	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	89,8%
6	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	89,2%
7	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	87,6%
8	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	95,1%
9	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	93,8%
10	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	84,0%
11	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	90,9%
12	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	89,7%
13	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	86,0%
14	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	91,6%
15	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	89,7%
16	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	95,6%
17	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	93,2%
18	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	92,7%
19	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	90,9%
20	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	90,3%
21	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	87,7%
22	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	85,6%
23	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	84,9%
24	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	83,6%
25	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	94,6%
26	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	93,1%
27	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	90,8%
28	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	90,0%
29	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	88,8%
30	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	88,5%

TABLE 4

PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	WTA Rkn	%PW
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	73,5%
2	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	74,2%
3	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	66,9%
4	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	72,3%
5	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	71,4%
6	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	69,7%
7	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	62,8%
8	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	73,9%
9	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	65,5%
10	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	70,7%
11	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	66,7%
12	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	66,6%
13	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	63,3%
14	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	74,2%
15	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	64,9%
16	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	71,6%
17	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	62,8%
18	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	70,2%
19	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	66,8%
20	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	66,7%
21	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	66,5%
22	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	65,7%
23	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	63,5%
24	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	69,2%
25	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	58,8%
26	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	67,1%
27	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	64,0%
28	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	62,2%
29	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	60,8%
30	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	58,0%

Observe, in Table 4, **Julia Goerges**. This player obtained 74.2% of points won with the first service, however, her performance ranking in this aspect was 14. If we consider this 74.2% in absolute way, **Goerges** should be No. 1 in the ranking of points won with the first service. This is not the case, ranking No. 1 in this category corresponds to **Petra Kvitova** (escorted by **Kiki Bertens** and **Aryna Sabalenka**), who maintained an average of 73.5% of points won with the first service during 16 matches. **Julia Goerges** held

74.2% for only 8 matches (half of **Kvitova**). As we have already mentioned, the calculation system rewards the performance ranking in the different aspects analyzed considering the number of matches played. The more matches played over a period of time, the greater the performance. It may be that someone wins a match playing poorly or with a low performance, but when many matches are taken, due to a statistical matter, that match is neutralized by another in which the player won playing very well. Someone can

get up bad one day and play badly but will not always.

It may be the case, and in fact happens, in which a player, in a certain aspect, has a better performance ranking than another, having played fewer matches. In these cases, it is because the player who played the most matches had a much lower average performance than the one who played fewer

matches and despite having played more matches her performance does not reach her to compensate for her lower ranking. There are several cases of these in the tables in this report.

TABLE 5

PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matched	WTA Rkn	%PG
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	46,2%
2	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	52,2%
3	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	52,0%
4	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	53,7%
5	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	51,8%
6	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	54,0%
7	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	47,0%
8	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	46,7%
9	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	49,1%
10	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	44,4%
11	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	48,2%
12	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	51,7%
13	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	46,4%
14	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	50,0%
15	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	44,7%
16	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	54,5%
17	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	53,6%
18	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	53,3%
19	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	50,5%
20	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	49,1%
21	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	55,8%
22	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	48,0%
23	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	47,9%
24	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	47,1%
25	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	52,5%
26	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	45,1%
27	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	48,4%
28	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	47,5%
29	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	45,9%
30	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	44,8%

TABLE 6

PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS SAVED (%BPS)

BPS Rkn.↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matched	WTA Rkn	%BPS
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	64,8%
2	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	60,3%
3	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	64,6%
4	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	58,9%
5	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	70,0%
6	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	64,8%
7	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	58,1%
8	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	63,6%
9	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	60,5%
10	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	54,7%
11	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	66,7%
12	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	65,7%
13	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	52,0%
14	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	50,0%
15	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	51,7%
16	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	67,4%
17	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	57,8%
18	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	56,3%
19	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	56,1%
20	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	55,6%
21	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	63,2%
22	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	52,8%
23	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	59,6%
24	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	52,1%
25	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	51,4%
26	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	50,8%
27	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	57,4%
28	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	57,4%
29	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	56,7%
30	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	49,1%

TABLE 7

PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS WON (%BPW)

BPW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	WTA Rkn	%BPW
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	45,6%
2	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	51,8%
3	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	46,7%
4	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	50,5%
5	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	48,2%
6	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	43,9%
7	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	47,8%
8	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	47,6%
9	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	51,2%
10	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	50,0%
11	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	57,6%
12	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	44,7%
13	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	63,8%
14	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	48,6%
15	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	41,8%
16	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	45,8%
17	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	51,0%
18	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	40,0%
19	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	49,3%
20	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	54,2%
21	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	53,2%
22	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	46,5%
23	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	46,0%
24	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	44,3%
25	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	43,5%
26	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	42,2%
27	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	41,7%
28	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	45,0%
29	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	41,2%
30	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	35,6%

Table 7 implicitly reflects the return of services. Those who better return the services of the rivals on average, better percentage of breakpoints won on average will have and, therefore, better ranking in this regard. We

observe that, the players that have had better ranking of breaks like **Kvitova**, **Vekic**, **Sabalenka**, **Yastremska**, **Pavlyuchenkova**, **Andreescu**, among others, are the ones that have reached the best ranking in the return of services.

TABLE 8

PERFORMANCE OF THE 1st RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matched	WTA Rkn	% RW
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	39,2%
2	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	39,1%
3	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	40,3%
4	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	39,6%
5	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	36,7%
6	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	36,7%
7	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	33,4%
8	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	40,1%
9	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	39,2%
10	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	35,2%
11	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	38,6%
12	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	37,7%
13	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	40,5%
14	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	36,0%
15	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	41,7%
16	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	36,0%
17	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	39,7%
18	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	39,5%
19	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	42,9%
20	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	36,8%
21	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	36,3%
22	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	40,7%
23	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	34,8%
24	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	34,5%
25	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	37,7%
26	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	37,0%
27	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	36,3%
28	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	31,5%
29	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	31,3%
30	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	31,6%

TABLE 9

PERFORMANCE OF THE 2nd RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	WTA Rkn	%RW
1	Petra	Kvitova	Czech Republic	16	2	53,6%
2	Aryna	Sabalenka	Belarus	12	10	60,9%
3	Bianca	Andreescu	Canada	12	68	59,4%
4	Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	11	5	56,3%
5	Donna	Vekic	Croatia	11	30	55,3%
6	Dayana	Yastremska	Ukraine	11	47	55,3%
7	Anastasia	Pavlyuchenkova	Russia	11	32	54,8%
8	Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	10	31	59,1%
9	Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	11	8	53,6%
10	Ajla	Tomljanovic	Australia	10	49	58,5%
11	Naomi	Osaka	Japan	10	1	56,1%
12	Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	10	14	53,1%
13	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	10	33	51,8%
14	Yafan	Wang	China	9	60	57,1%
15	Irina-Camelia	Begu	Romania	8	71	62,9%
16	Maria	Sharapova	Russia	8	29	57,0%
17	Sofia	Kenin	United States	9	36	50,6%
18	Julia	Goerges	Germany	8	16	56,2%
19	Amanda	Anisimova	United States	7	62	63,6%
20	Anastasija	Sevastova	Latvia	8	13	55,0%
21	Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	8	15	54,7%
22	Danielle	Collins	United States	8	23	54,6%
23	Viktoria	Kuzmova	Slovakia	8	45	54,1%
24	Eugenie	Bouchard	Canada	8	76	53,8%
25	Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	7	24	59,0%
26	Vera	Zvonareva	Russia	8	97	51,1%
27	Sloane	Stephens	United States	7	4	57,9%
28	Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	7	20	53,8%
29	Lauren	Davis	United States	7	202	53,8%
30	Elise	Mertens	Belgium	7	21	53,2%