

# TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING

January, 2019

www.TennisTop10.com

Rubén A. Báez



### TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING $^{\odot}$

(GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>)

Top 30 of The General Performance Ranking $^{\mathbb{C}}$ " - 2019 Season, January	3
<b>Table 1</b> . General Performance Ranking <sup>©</sup> $\rightarrow$ GPI Ranking <sup>©</sup>	4
Table 2. Performance of the 1 <sup>st</sup> Serve In (%)	5
Table 3. Performance of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Serve (%)	7
Table 4. Performance of the 1 <sup>st</sup> Serve Won (%PW)	8
Table 5. Performance of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Serve Won (%PW)	10
Table 6. Performance of the Break Points Saved (%BPS)	11
Table 7. Performance of the Break Points Won (%BPW)	12
Table 8. Performance of the 1 <sup>st</sup> Return Won (%RW)	13
Table 9. Performance of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Return Won (%EW)	14



Year IX, Article<sup>1</sup> No. 74 March 29, 2019

Rubén A. Báez<sup>2</sup> tennis-stats@tennistop10.com

#### MONTHLY REPORT

#### TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING<sup>©</sup> (GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>)

#### 2019 SEASON, JANUARY

#### General Performance Index<sup>©</sup>

(GPI: General Performance Index): "It is an index that measures the performance that a tennis player has had in a given period of time." This index, not shown here, is used to make the "General Performance Ranking<sup>©</sup> or GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>".

This index considers, for its calculation, a series of aspects such as the number of matches played and won, the serves, the points earned with the serves, the returns, the break points (saved and won) and the tiebreaks. Once these indexes are calculated to certain period. January 2019 in this case, these indexes are ordered from highest to lowest and a ranking is established. This ranking is the General Performance Ranking<sup>©</sup> or GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup> that is used to order the players by merit of performance in January, in this case. As already it mentioned in other articles, the ATP **Ranking** necessarily not should coincide with the **General Performance Ranking**<sup>©</sup>, in fact, rarely happens. This General Performance Ranking<sup>©</sup> is very useful because it allows analvzing and quantifying what has been the performance of an athlete in relation to the other players that have played in the same period of time.

As we have already mentioned in the report: "Top 150 of The Performance Ranking<sup>®</sup> – 2018 Season" regarding the performance of **Alex de Minaur** last season. We had commented that **Minaur** had an excellent projection and, in the first month of the 2019 season, he confirmed this presumption by keeping the best performance in January, according to our statistical calculations.

Table 1 shows General Performance Ranking<sup>©</sup> of the thirty (30) best players in the tournaments that began in the month of January. Generally, the players with the best GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup> are those who have played the greatest number of matches. This is because the formulas used to calculate the General Performance Index<sup>©</sup> or GPI<sup>©</sup> consider the number of matches played, that it assumes implicitly that if a player has played many matches in a short period of time under analysis is because he/she has had good performance, otherwise, he/she would have been eliminated in the preliminary rounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Report elaboration based on data from official Websites: www.AusOpen.com www.ATPTour.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Tennis Coach (Argentine Tennis Association), Bachelor of Business Administration, Certified Public Accountant, Post grades of Finance Management and Capital Markets, Master of Business Administration (IAE Business School, Austral University, Buenos Aires, Argentina).



That is to say, given a certain number of tournaments in which a player has participated, the performance index is higher if the player has won as many matches as possible. This comment must be considered when analyzing the tables because there are cases in which a player in a given aspect has a lower measure than another, but having more matches played the calculation of the index places him/her in a more advantageous position in the **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup>. We will mention it opportunely.

TABLE 1
GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING $^{\odot} \rightarrow$ GPI Ranking $^{\odot}$

GPI Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn
1	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28
2	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18
4	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7
5	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37
6	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79
7	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16
8	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14
9	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2
10	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12
11	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31
12	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78
13	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24
14	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61
15	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75
16	John	Millman	Australia	7	36
17	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17
18	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40
19	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5
20	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32
21	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35
22	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210
23	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64
24	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22
25	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30
26	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20
27	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51
28	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23
29	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44
30	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66

Table 2 is presenting the **Performance of the** 1<sup>st</sup> Serve In (%). Note that the podium of the three (3) best performing players during the month of January was: Alex de Minaur, Novak Djokovic and Roberto Bautista Agut. Practically, these three players have leaded the **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup> of all the tables presented in this report. They have served and returned very well, for instance **Roberto Bautista Agut** obtained 70.3% of first services, however, **Alex de Minaur** obtained 67.9%, the latter has

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING<sup>©</sup> (GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>) – 2019 Season, January ©Tennis Top 10<sup>®</sup> – All rights reserved www.TennisTop10.com



the best performance in this area due to having played one more match. From **Table 2** to **Table 10**, the performance ranking for each aspect is calculated with the same philosophy as in **Table 1**.

The calculations are exact, if a player has a **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup> of 1 and the other of 3 is because that's the way, it is mathematically.

TABLE 2				
PERFORMANCE OF THE 1 <sup>st</sup> SERVE IN (%	)			

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	67,9%
2	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	65,0%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	70,3%
4	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	67,1%
5	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	61,9%
6	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	68,5%
7	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	59,9%
8	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	64,2%
9	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	64,2%
10	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	62,2%
11	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	69,4%
12	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	69,3%
13	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	68,6%
14	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	65,9%
15	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	63,1%
16	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	62,9%
17	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	62,7%
18	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	61,1%
19	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	61,0%
20	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	60,3%
21	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	69,8%
22	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	69,8%
23	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	67,3%
24	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	66,5%
25	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	64,7%
26	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	61,5%
27	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	60,2%
28	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	60,0%
29	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	59,5%
30	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	56,8%

For all the statistical calculations, all the players who participated in the tournaments that began in January have been included. In total there were 142 players. While this report presents the Top 30 performance, in the calculations have been considered the 142 players. For reasons of space, the **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup> has not been shown for the rest, but the results are all relative to the total number of players (142).

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING<sup>©</sup> (GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>) – 2019 Season, January ©Tennis Top 10<sup>®</sup> – All rights reserved www.TennisTop10.com



I assume that those who read this report are people who understand tennis, so many explanations are not necessary. It is easy to see that those players who in **Table 1** have the best **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup> also stand out in the rankings of the other aspects such as services, return, points earned with serves, etc. Anyway, we will see the evolution month by month to determine the trend of the players. At the end of the season we can quantify the regularity of the players.

It is appropriate to make clear one aspect of the tournaments included in the month under analysis. The criterion adopted have been include in each month the tournaments that start that month, independently they finish the next month. For example, a tournament that begins in month 1 and ends in month 2, is considered within month 1. This is so to allow a player who reaches decisive instances and having matches played in month 1 and in the month 2. Once again, in order not to interrupt the series of matches, the criterion of considering in each month only the matches that begin in that month was adopted. There is the exception of January, which can also include matches from the month of December. There are tournaments in Australia and New Zealand that start at the end of December and finish January of the following year. In this case, as already mentioned, the criterion adopted is to include those matches in the month of January.



## PERFORMANCE OF THE 2<sup>nd</sup> SERVE IN (%)

(%) Rkn ↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%
1	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	93,4%
2	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	93,0%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	96,3%
4	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	96,1%
5	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	92,3%
6	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	90,5%
7	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	93,7%
8	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	93,3%
9	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	92,2%
10	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	92,2%
11	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	95,8%
12	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	95,6%
13	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	94,3%
14	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	94,1%
15	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	92,6%
16	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	92,6%
17	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	92,5%
18	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	90,1%
19	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	88,9%
20	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	88,0%
21	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	96,3%
22	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	95,1%
23	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	93,8%
24	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	93,5%
25	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	93,3%
26	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	93,2%
27	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	92,5%
28	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	91,6%
29	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	91,1%
30	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	85,6%



### PERFORMANCE OF THE 1<sup>st</sup> SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%PW
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	75,5%
2	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	72,8%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	75,3%
4	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	80,4%
5	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	74,6%
6	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	82,6%
7	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	73,3%
8	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	77,6%
9	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	75,8%
10	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	84,7%
11	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	72,5%
12	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	79,8%
13	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	78,1%
14	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	77,9%
15	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	77,2%
16	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	76,8%
17	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	75,3%
18	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	74,9%
19	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	71,1%
20	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	69,0%
21	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	79,3%
22	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	79,1%
23	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	77,6%
24	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	74,6%
25	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	74,3%
26	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	74,0%
27	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	73,9%
28	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	73,6%
29	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	69,3%
30	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	63,9%

Observe, in **Table 4**, **Ivo Karlovic**. This player obtained 84.7% of points won with the first service, however, his performance ranking regard this aspect was 10. If we had considered absolute that 84.7%, **Karlovic** should be No. 1 in the ranking of points won with the first service. This is not the case, the ranking No. 1 in this item corresponds to **Novak Djokovic** (escorted by **Alex de Minaur** and **Roberto Bautista Agut**), who maintained an average of 75.5% of points won with the first service during 11 matches. **Ivo Karlovic** maintained 84.7% for only 7 games. As we have already mentioned, the calculation system rewards the performance ranking in the different aspects analyzed considering the number of games played. The more matches played over a period of time, the greater the performance. It may be that someone wins a match by playing poorly or with a low performance, but when many matches are taken, by a statistical issue, that match is neutralized by another in which the player won playing very well. Someone can get up wrong one day and play badly but

TOP 30 OF THE GENERAL PERFORMANCE RANKING<sup>©</sup> (GPI Ranking<sup>©</sup>) – 2019 Season, January ©Tennis Top 10<sup>®</sup> – All rights reserved www.TennisTop10.com



will not do it always.

It may be the case, and in fact occurs, in which a player, in a given aspect, has a better performance ranking than another player having played fewer matches. In these cases, it is because the player who played more matches had a much lower average performance than the one who played fewer matches and despite having played more matches his performance does not reach him to compensate for his lower ranking. There are several cases of these in the tables in this report.



### PERFORMANCE OF THE 2<sup>nd</sup> SERVE WON (%PW)

PW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%PG
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	64,0%
2	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	59,1%
3	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	52,0%
4	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	55,8%
5	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	54,3%
6	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	52,5%
7	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	57,9%
8	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	55,6%
9	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	53,1%
10	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	58,6%
11	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	58,4%
12	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	57,8%
13	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	49,5%
14	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	54,3%
15	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	53,5%
16	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	52,2%
17	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	51,4%
18	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	50,6%
19	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	58,4%
20	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	48,8%
21	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	55,8%
22	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	46,4%
23	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	53,5%
24	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	51,9%
25	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	51,5%
26	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	50,8%
27	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	50,3%
28	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	48,4%
29	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	47,9%
30	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	47,1%

This **Table 5** shows the predominance of No. 1 of the **ATP ranking**, **Novak Djokovic**. **Djokovic** won 64% of the points played with

the second service in this analysis period, placing him in the first place in the performance ranking in this aspect.



#### PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS SAVED (%BPS)

BPS Rkn⊥	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%BPS
1	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	65,5%
2	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	62,5%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	68,6%
4	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	69,0%
5	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	87,0%
6	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	66,7%
7	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	79,5%
8	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	79,2%
9	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	67,2%
10	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	66,7%
11	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	62,8%
12	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	70,8%
13	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	54,8%
14	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	70,0%
15	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	60,9%
16	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	68,2%
17	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	79,2%
18	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	74,3%
19	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	69,5%
20	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	68,5%
21	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	58,7%
22	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	67,3%
23	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	57,6%
24	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	56,4%
25	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	64,9%
26	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	54,3%
27	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	63,2%
28	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	52,2%
29	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	52,2%
30	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	51,4%

This table is related to the service. Those who, on average, were serving better and won more points with their serves will be those with a better ranking in this area.



#### PERFORMANCE OF THE BREAK POINTS WON (%BPW)

BPW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%BPW
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	51,4%
2	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	52,6%
3	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	42,5%
4	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	38,8%
5	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	41,7%
6	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	43,8%
7	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	46,3%
8	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	45,5%
9	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	52,0%
10	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	33,9%
11	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	42,6%
12	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	40,0%
13	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	45,2%
14	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	33,8%
15	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	38,3%
16	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	44,2%
17	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	32,8%
18	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	32,2%
19	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	34,0%
20	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	39,1%
21	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	38,6%
22	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	38,1%
23	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	36,8%
24	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	30,9%
25	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	35,9%
26	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	30,3%
27	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	29,5%
28	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	31,4%
29	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	30,3%
30	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	22,2%

**Table 7** implicitly reflects the return of services. Those who better return the services of the rivals on average, the better percentage of breakpoints won on average will have and,

therefore, better ranking in this issue. We observe, once again, that the trio of **Djokovic**, **De Minaur** and **Bautista Agut** is predominant. Here **Andreas Seppi** slipped into position 2.



## PERFORMANCE OF THE 1<sup>st</sup> RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn↓	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matched	ATP Rkn	% RW
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	34,1%
2	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	30,7%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	28,7%
4	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	31,3%
5	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	29,7%
6	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	29,3%
7	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	31,1%
8	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	33,2%
9	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	28,6%
10	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	32,7%
11	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	32,2%
12	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	31,8%
13	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	26,5%
14	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	26,0%
15	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	29,4%
16	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	33,0%
17	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	27,4%
18	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	29,4%
19	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	29,4%
20	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	25,0%
21	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	27,9%
22	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	27,6%
23	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	27,5%
24	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	22,2%
25	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	25,8%
26	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	25,0%
27	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	24,2%
28	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	24,2%
29	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	20,1%
30	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	19,6%



### PERFORMANCE OF THE 2<sup>nd</sup> RETURN WON (%RW)

RW Rkn	Name	Surname	Country	Played Matches	ATP Rkn	%RW
1	Novak	Djokovic	Serbia	11	1	60,8%
2	Alex	De Minaur	Australia	11	28	53,3%
3	Roberto	Bautista Agut	Spain	10	18	51,9%
4	Kei	Nishikori	Japan	9	7	53,9%
5	Daniil	Medvedev	Russia	8	16	58,7%
6	Andreas	Seppi	Italy	9	37	51,6%
7	Tomas	Berdych	Czech Republic	9	79	49,5%
8	Rafael	Nadal	Spain	7	2	57,5%
9	Gilles	Simon	France	8	31	50,1%
10	Jordan	Thompson	Australia	7	61	51,4%
11	Milos	Raonic	Canada	8	14	44,7%
12	Pablo	Carreno Busta	Spain	6	23	59,2%
13	John	Millman	Australia	7	36	50,4%
14	Grigor	Dimitrov	Bulgaria	7	24	50,2%
15	Jeremy	Chardy	France	7	35	48,9%
16	Taylor	Fritz	United States	7	40	47,8%
17	Philipp	Kohlschreiber	Germany	7	32	47,6%
18	Stefanos	Tsitsipas	Greece	8	12	41,6%
19	Kevin	Anderson	South Africa	6	5	55,1%
20	Tennys	Sandgren	United States	7	75	46,7%
21	Yoshihito	Nishioka	Japan	6	66	53,6%
22	Nikoloz	Basilashvili	Georgia	6	22	53,6%
23	Lucas	Pouille	France	7	17	45,7%
24	Diego	Schwartzman	Argentina	6	20	53,2%
25	Jan-Lennard	Struff	Germany	6	51	50,8%
26	Cameron	Norrie	Great Britain	6	64	48,9%
27	Frances	Tiafoe	United States	6	30	48,8%
28	Jo-Wilfried	Tsonga	France	6	210	47,7%
29	Pierre-Hugues	Herbert	France	6	44	47,3%
30	lvo	Karlovic	Croatia	7	78	39,2%

Finally, we see that both in Table 8 and Table 9, **Novak Djokovic**, **Alex De Minaur**, **Roberto Bautista Agut** and we could add **Kei Nishikori**, have been the best returners of January. In the case of **Novak Djokovic**, it is not news that he is one of the best current returners, but the best. He also stands out by leading the ranking of points won with his services reaffirming his leadership in world tennis. We will see if he maintains this performance during the 2019 season, however, **Djokovic** is an exceptional tennis player and in normal conditions, we estimate that he will remain at the top of the **General Performance Ranking**<sup>©</sup> or **GPI Ranking**<sup>©</sup>.