

WOMEN

Report of the Grand Slams of the Top 10 – 2018 Season

PERFORMANCE RANKING OF THE GRAND SLAMS 2018 SEASON

Date of Report: Sep 28th, 2018

Rubén A. Báez
www.TennisTop10.com



REPORT OF THE GRAND SLAMS OF THE TOP 10- 2018 SEASON

INDEX

PERFORMANCE RANKING OF THE TOP 30 IN GRAND SLAMS – 2018 SEASON	4
Table 1. General Performance Ranking of the TOP 30 in Grand Slams	5
Performance Ranking of the 4 Grand Slams	
Table 2. Total of the 4 Grand slams	6
Table 3. Australian Open	6
Table 4. Roland Garros	6
Table 5. Wimbledon	6
Table 6. US Open	6
Performance Ranking of ACES	
Table 7. Aces - Total Grand slams	7
Table 8. Aces - Australian Open	7
Table 9. Aces - Roland Garros	7
Table 10. Aces - Wimbledon	7
Table 11. Aces - US Open	7
Performance Ranking (-) of DOUBLE FAULTS	
Table 12. Double Faults - Total Grand Slams	8
Table 13. Double Faults - Australian Open	8
Table 14. Double Faults - Roland Garros	8
Table 15. Double Faults - Wimbledon	8
Table 16. Double Faults - US Open	8
Performance Ranking of the First Serve In	
Table 17. % First Service In - Total Grand Slams	9
Table 18. % First Service In - Australian Open	9
Table 19. % First Service In - Roland Garros	9
Table 20. % First Service In - Wimbledon	9
Table 21. % First Service In - US Open	9
Performance Ranking of the First Service Won	
Table 22. Points Won With The First Service - Total Grand Slams	10
Table 23. Points Won With The First Service - Australian Open	10
Table 24. Points Won With The First Service - Roland Garros	10
Table 25. Points Won With The First Service - Wimbledon	10

Table 26. Points Won With The First Service - US Open 10

Performance Ranking of the Second Service In

Table 27. % Second Service In - Total Grand Slams 11

Table 28. % Second Service In - Australian Open 11

Table 29. % Second Service In - Roland Garros 11

Table 30. % Second Service In - Wimbledon 11

Table 31. % Second Service In - US Open 11

Performance Ranking of the Second Service Won

Table 32. Points Won With The Second Service - Total Grand Slams 12

Table 33. Points Won With The Second Service - Australian Open 12

Table 34. Points Won With The Second Service - Roland Garros 12

Table 35. Points Won With The Second Service - Wimbledon 12

Table 36. Points Won With The Second Service - US Open 12

Performance Ranking of the First Return Points Won

Table 37. First Return Points Won - Total Grand Slams 13

Table 38. First Return Points Won - Australian Open 13

Table 39. First Return Points Won - Roland Garros 13

Table 40. First Return Points Won - Wimbledon 13

Table 41. First Return Points Won - US Open 13

Performance Ranking of the Second Return Points Won

Table 42. Second Return Points Won - Total Grand Slams 14

Table 43. Second Return Points Won - Australian Open 14

Table 44. Second Return Points Won - Roland Garros 14

Table 45. Second Return Points Won - Wimbledon 14

Table 46. Second Return Points Won - US Open 14

PERFORMANCE RANKING OF THE TOP 30 IN GRAND SLAMS – 2018 SEASON

Date of Report¹: 28.09.2018
By Rubén A. Báez²



Table 1 summarizes the performance of the **Top 30** in the Grand Slams of the 2018 season. The players have been sorted based on the **performance ranking** or **GPI ranking**, starting with the best performance, in this case **Angelique Kerber**. The podium in the **performance ranking** of the 2018 season is formed of **Angelique Kerber** (1), **Madison Keys** (2) and **Serena Williams** (3).

In the calculation of the **performance ranking** the number of matches played has an important weighting. Anyway, as you can see in some particular cases, those who play more matches will not necessarily get a better **performance ranking**. In this article I have exposed only the "**performance ranking**" and not the "**general performance index** or **GPI**". As I have explained in previous articles, the **Performance Index** or **General Performance Index** serves to order them from highest to lowest and determine a "ranking". I thought that it is not necessary to expose the indexes. What really matters is to determine the **performance ranking** (independent of the **WTA ranking**) to know objectively where each player is positioned with respect to the others. Obviously, the ranking is calculated for all the players of the season, but for reasons of space I only expose the **Top 30** and the **Top 10**.

Another aspect, already mentioned in previous articles, but it is relevant to mention it again, is the relationship that exists between the **WTA ranking** and the **performance ranking** or **GPI ranking**. These two rankings do not necessarily have to coincide, but in general there is a positive correlation (Depending on the degree of regularity of the players taken in the sample, the more regular, the more correlated). In **Table 1** note that within the **TOP 10** of the performance ranking are included 5 of the **Top 10** of the **WTA**.

The real **performance ranking** or **GPI ranking** we can be calculated it at the end of the current season and at that time we will know which the best performances of 2018 will be taking as reference the totality of the players in the tournaments, **WTA**, **Grand Slams** and **Fed Cup**.

To learn more about the calculation of the **performance ranking** o **GPI ranking**, click on the follows links please:

- [GENERAL PERFORMANCE INDEX \(GPI\) MARCH 2018 – WTA TOP 30](#)
- [GENERAL PERFORMANCE INDEX \(GPI\) OF THE 2017 SEASON – WTA TOP 100](#)

Next, are exposed the performance tables belong different aspects for the **Top 10** (Except **Table 1**).

¹ Report elaboration based on data from official Websites:

www.AustralianOpen.com
www.RolandGarros.com
www.Wimbledon.com
www.USOpen.org

² Tennis Instructor Level II (Argentine Tennis Association), Bachelor of Business Administration, Certified Public Accountant, Post grade's degree in Finance Management and Capital Markets, MBA (IAE Business School, Austral University, Argentina).

TABLE 1

General Performance Ranking³ of the TOP 30 in Grand Slams – 2018 Season

			GRAND SLAM PERFORMANCE RANKING (GPI Ranking)													
			Total Grand Slams (4)		AUSTRALIAN OPEN			ROLAND GARROS			WIMBLEDON			US OPEN		
Name	Surname	Country	WTA Ranking ⁴	Performance Ranking	Round	WTA Ranking	Performance Ranking	Round	WTA Ranking	Performance Ranking	Round	WTA Ranking	Performance Ranking	Round	WTA Ranking	Performance Ranking
Angelique	Kerber	Germany		1	Semifinal	16	3	Quarterfinal	12	5	Final (Champion)	10	1	3rd. Round	4	20
Madison	Keys	United States	18	2	Quarterfinal	20	6	Semifinal	13	3	3rd. Round	11	22	Semifinal	14	4
Serena	Williams	United States	16	3		-	-	3rd. Round	451	16	Final (Runner-up)	181	2	Final (Runner-up)	26	2
Simona	Halep	Romania	1	4	Final (Runner-up)	1	2	Final (Champion)	1	2	3rd. Round	1	23	1st. Round	1	70
Naomi	Osaka	Japan	7	5	4th. Round	72	10	3rd. Round	20	32	3rd. Round	18	21	Final (Champion)	19	1
Karolina	Pliskova	Czech Republic	8	6	Quarterfinal	6	8	3rd. Round	6	20	4th. Round	8	15	Quarterfinal	8	5
Elise	Mertens	Belgium	15	7	Semifinal	37	4	4th. Round	16	15	3rd. Round	15	18	4th. Round	15	16
Caroline	Wozniacki	Denmark	2	8	Final (Champion)	2	1	4th. Round	2	8	2nd. Round	2	34	2nd. Round	2	50
Carla	Suarez Navarro	Spain	22	9	Quarterfinal	39	5	2nd. Round	23	49	3rd. Round	26	30	Quarterfinal	24	6
Barbora	Strycova	Czech Republic	25	10	4th. Round	24	9	4th. Round	26	12	3rd. Round	23	24	3rd. Round	23	27
Jelena	Ostapenko	Latvia	10	11	3rd. Round	7	22	1st. Round	5	78	Semifinal	12	3	3rd. Round	10	25
Kiki	Bertens	Netherlands	12	12	3rd. Round	32	26	3rd. Round	22	29	Quarterfinal	20	7	3rd. Round	13	30
Daria	Kasatkina	Russia	13	13	2nd. Round	25	52	Quarterfinal	14	6	Quarterfinal	14	8	2nd. Round	11	63
Sloane	Stephens	United States	9	14	1st. Round	13	102	Final (Runner-up)	10	1	1st. Round	4	73	Quarterfinal	3	7
Julia	Goerges	Germany	11	15	2nd. Round	12	45	3rd. Round	11	18	Semifinal	13	4	2nd. Round	9	64
Anett	Kontaveit	Estonia	27	16	4th. Round	33	15	4th. Round	24	9	3rd. Round	27	17	1st. Round	27	67
Camila	Giorgi	Italy	35	17	2nd. Round	71	43	3rd. Round	57	25	Quarterfinal	52	6	2nd. Round	40	46
Maria	Sharapova	Russia	24	18	3rd. Round	48	19	Quarterfinal	30	14	1st. Round	22	77	4th. Round	22	12
Lesia	Tsurenko	Ukraine	26	19	2nd. Round	43	47	4th. Round	39	10	2nd. Round	34	41	Quarterfinal	36	8
Dominika	Cibulkova	Slovakia	29	20	1st. Round	26	122	1st. Round	32	99	Quarterfinal	33	5	4th. Round	35	9
Elina	Svitolina	Ukraine	6	21	Quarterfinal	4	7	3rd. Round	4	26	1st. Round	5	103	4th. Round	7	14
Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	Belarus	30	22	3rd. Round	56	28	2nd. Round	55	52	4th. Round	50	16	3rd. Round	33	21
Garbiñe	Muguruza	Spain	14	23	2nd. Round	3	61	Semifinal	3	4	2nd. Round	3	53	2nd. Round	12	51
Su-Wei	Hsieh	Chinese Taipei	40	24	4th. Round	88	11	1st. Round	45	73	4th. Round	48	12	2nd. Round	43	61
Caroline	Garcia	France	4	25	4th. Round	8	16	4th. Round	7	11	1st. Round	6	123	3rd. Round	6	31
Ashleigh	Barty	Australia	17	26	3rd. Round	17	32	2nd. Round	17	41	3rd. Round	17	26	4th. Round	17	15
Daria	Gavrilova	Australia	32	27	2nd. Round	23	58	3rd. Round	25	22	3rd. Round	25	27	2nd. Round	32	34
Ekaterina	Makarova	Russia	42	28	1st. Round	31	78	2nd. Round	36	55	4th. Round	35	13	3rd. Round	45	19
Katerina	Siniakova	Czech Republic	46	29	2nd. Round	59	59	3rd. Round	54	31	3rd. Round	42	32	3rd. Round	54	32
Yulia	Putintseva	Kazakhstan	55	30	2nd. Round	54	35	Quarterfinal	98	7	2nd. Round	53	56	1st. Round	50	84

³ It is the "sorting" (ranking) from highest to lowest of the **General Performance Indexes (GPI)**. The indexes are not exposed in this article.

⁴ The **WTA Ranking** of this column correspond to the September 10th, 2018. The **WTA Ranking** of each Grand Slam corresponds to the first Monday of each.

Performance Ranking of the 4 Grand Slams

TABLE 2

TOTAL OF THE 4 GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	21
2	18	Madison	Keys	20
3	16	Serena	Williams	17
4	1	Simona	Halep	18
5	7	Naomi	Osaka	17
6	8	Karolina	Pliskova	17
7	15	Elise	Mertens	17
8	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	15
9	22	Carla	Suarez Navarro	15
10	25	Barbora	Strycova	14

TABLE 3

AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	Matches
1	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	7
3	16	Angelique	Kerber	6
4	37	Elise	Mertens	6
5	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	5
6	20	Madison	Keys	5
7	4	Elina	Svitolina	5
8	6	Karolina	Pliskova	5
9	24	Barbora	Strycova	4
10	72	Naomi	Osaka	4

Table 2 shows the performance ranking of the Top10 in the four Grand Slams where the podium is formed by **Angelique Kerber** (1), **Madison Keys** (2) and **Serena Williams** (3). In Table 3 **Caroline Wozniacki** stands out as the best performing player in the **Australian Open**, followed by **Simona Halep**. As interesting datum, in this season three of the four Grand Slams were won by players who had never won a Grand Slam. It is different for the case of men where the Grand Slams titles have been monopolized by 3 or 4 players in the last 14 years!

TABLE 4

ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	7
3	13	Madison	Keys	6
4	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	6
5	12	Angelique	Kerber	5
6	14	Daria	Kasatkina	5
7	98	Yulia	Putintseva	5
8	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	4
9	24	Anett	Kontaveit	4
10	39	Lesia	Tsurenko	4

TABLE 5

WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	7
2	181	Serena	Williams	7
3	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	6
4	13	Julia	Goerges	6
5	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	5
6	52	Camila	Giorgi	5
7	20	Kiki	Bertens	5
8	14	Daria	Kasatkina	5
9	47	Alison	Van Uytvanck	4
10	55	Donna	Vekic	4

TABLE 6

US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	Matches
1	19	Naomi	Osaka	7
2	26	Serena	Williams	7
3	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	6
4	14	Madison	Keys	6
5	8	Karolina	Pliskova	5
6	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	5
7	3	Sloane	Stephens	5
8	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	5
9	35	Dominika	Cibulkova	4
10	20	Aryna	Sabalenka	4

Table 4 shows the predominance of **Sloane Stephens** and **Simona Halep** on clay surfaces. At **Wimbledon** and the **US Open**, **Angelique Kerber**, **Serena Williams** and **Naomi Osaka** stood out at the performance top. Note that generally the best performing player in a Grand Slam is the champion, although the runner-up also played the same number of games (7). This is due to in some aspect the champion had a better performance in the service, in the returns, break points, etc. Some aspect has made the difference so that the analysis is consistent, and the champions of Grand Slam obtain the best performance of the tournament. It could be the case that the finalist gets better performance in the tournament than the champion because the last could have won the final match not having played so good, for instance, winning tiebreaks or saving too many break points. Another example, which reflects this situation, is shown in **Table 4**, where the runner-up **Sloane Stephens** achieved a better performance than the champion **Simona Halep**, in **Roland Garros**. This was due to the fact that **Sloane Stephens** had an excellent performance during the tournament, but in the final match **Simona Halep** beat her in every areas, the last one served better, she won a higher percentage of points with her services, she had a better return both of the first as the second service, played better the important points, won 5 of 6 break points, won more points at the net and had fewer unforced errors. In contrast **Sloane Stephens** apparently, in the final match, was not up to the performance obtained in the other 6 games of Roland Garros. But, Sloane Stephens compensated the no so good performance of the final match with the other 6 matches of the tournament and managed to get the best performance in Roland Garros. The won and saved break points are part of the process of determining the **performance ranking**.

Performance Ranking of ACES

Table 7 exposes the outstanding bombers of the circuit. **Table 7** shows the total of aces in the four (4) Grand Slams of the season standing out in first place **Serena Williams** (1), followed by **Julia Goerges** (2) and **Madison Keys** (3) on the podium of the **performance ranking (GPI ranking)**. Note that in this area **Serena Williams** is the leader who, despite not participating in the **Australian Open**, obtained the highest **performance ranking** in aces in two of the four Grand Slams (**Wimbledon** and **US Open**) and was second in **Roland Garros**. **Serena Williams** is the best gunner of the Grand Slams regard of "aces". Other great servers are the rising **Naomi Osaka**, **Karolina Pliskova**, **Kiki Bertens** and **Ashleigh Barty**.

TABLE 7

ACES - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	ACES	Matches
1	16	Serena	Williams	140	17
2	11	Julia	Goerges	113	13
3	18	Madison	Keys	108	20
4	7	Naomi	Osaka	96	17
5	8	Karolina	Pliskova	89	17
6	12	Kiki	Bertens	79	14
7	17	Ashleigh	Barty	72	12
8	4	Caroline	Garcia	60	12
9	35	Camila	Giorgi	57	12
10	10	Jelena	Ostapenko	53	13

TABLE 8

ACES - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	ACES	Matches
1	72	Naomi	Osaka	36	4
2	6	Karolina	Pliskova	29	5
3	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	28	7
4	20	Madison	Keys	26	5
5	4	Elina	Svitolina	26	5
6	8	Caroline	Garcia	24	4
7	17	Ashleigh	Barty	24	3
8	1	Simona	Halep	21	7
9	33	Anett	Kontaveit	20	4
10	32	Kiki	Bertens	19	3

TABLE 9

ACES – ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	ACES	Matches
1	11	Julia	Goerges	28	3
2	451	Serena	Williams	25	3
3	13	Madison	Keys	22	6
4	22	Kiki	Bertens	16	3
5	6	Karolina	Pliskova	15	3
6	57	Camila	Giorgi	15	3
7	20	Naomi	Osaka	15	3
8	70	Kristyna	Pliskova	15	1
9	30	Maria	Sharapova	13	4
10	80	Heather	Watson	11	2

TABLE 10

ACES - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	ACES	Matches
1	181	Serena	Williams	48	7
2	13	Julia	Goerges	47	6
3	20	Kiki	Bertens	29	5
4	52	Camila	Giorgi	25	5
5	11	Madison	Keys	25	3
6	35	Ekaterina	Makarova	24	4
7	42	Katerina	Siniakova	22	3
8	66	Lucie	Safarova	21	3
9	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	20	6
10	55	Donna	Vekic	20	4

TABLE 11

ACES - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	ACES	Matches
1	26	Serena	Williams	67	7
2	14	Madison	Keys	35	6
3	19	Naomi	Osaka	33	7
4	8	Karolina	Pliskova	29	5
5	6	Caroline	Garcia	24	3
6	17	Ashleigh	Barty	22	4
7	9	Julia	Goerges	22	2
8	15	Elise	Mertens	19	4
9	30	Maria	Sakkari	17	2
10	22	Maria	Sharapova	15	4

Performance Ranking (-) of DOUBLE FAULTS

In my opinion, the ranking of double faults is "negative", because the one that gets the highest ranking is the one that committed the most double faults and this is not a merit, it is something to correct. **Table 12** presents the leaders in double faults in the four Grand Slams. The podium of the 2018 season is integrated by **Jelena Ostapenko** (1), **Camila Giorgi** (2) and **Maria Sharapova** (3).

TABLE 12

DOUBLES FAULTS - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Ranking (-)	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	D. FAULTS	Matches
1	10	Jelena	Ostapenko	82	13
2	35	Camila	Giorgi	79	12
3	24	Maria	Sharapova	75	12
4	13	Daria	Kasatkina	73	14
5	32	Daria	Gavrilova	71	10
6	27	Anett	Kontaveit	62	12
7	15	Elise	Mertens	60	17
8	71	Marketa	Vondrousova	57	8
9	46	Katerina	Siniakova	55	11
10	12	Kiki	Bertens	54	14

TABLE 13

DOUBLES FAULTS - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Ranking (-)	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	D. FAULTS	Matches
1	37	Elise	Mertens	25	6
2	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	23	7
3	33	Anett	Kontaveit	23	4
4	521	Marta	Kostyuk	23	3
5	76	Lauren	Davis	20	3
6	42	Alizé	Cornet	19	3
7	62	Marketa	Vondrousova	18	2
8	88	Su-Wei	Hsieh	17	4
9	1	Simona	Halep	16	7
10	94	Kateryna	Bondarenko	16	3

TABLE 14

DOUBLES FAULTS - ROLAND GARROS

Ranking (-)	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	D. FAULTS	Matches
1	25	Daria	Gavrilova	34	3
2	30	Maria	Sharapova	23	4
3	57	Camila	Giorgi	19	3
4	8	Petra	Kvitova	19	3
5	40	Irina-Camelia	Begu	19	3
6	24	Anett	Kontaveit	18	4
7	14	Daria	Kasatkina	17	5
8	16	Elise	Mertens	16	4
9	74	Pauline	Parmentier	16	3
10	50	Donna	Vekic	15	2

TABLE 15

DOUBLES FAULTS - WIMBLEDON

Ranking (-)	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	D. FAULTS	Matches
1	52	Camila	Giorgi	44	5
2	14	Daria	Kasatkina	34	5
3	13	Julia	Goerges	26	6
4	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	25	6
5	20	Kiki	Bertens	22	5
6	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	22	5
7	107	Anna	Blinkova	22	2
8	55	Donna	Vekic	21	4
9	82	Vera	Lapko	20	2
10	42	Katerina	Siniakova	19	3

TABLE 16

DOUBLES FAULTS - US OPEN

Ranking (-)	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	D. FAULTS	Matches
1	22	Maria	Sharapova	32	4
2	10	Jelena	Ostapenko	32	3
3	103	Marketa	Vondrousova	29	4
4	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	25	5
5	54	Katerina	Siniakova	22	3
6	20	Aryna	Sabalenka	22	4
7	26	Serena	Williams	21	7
8	5	Petra	Kvitova	21	3
9	8	Karolina	Pliskova	18	5
10	33	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	17	3

Performance Ranking of the First Serve In

The **Percentage (%) of First Services** or the "aim" is one of the most interesting parameters to analyze. It measures the average percentage of the first services that enter the service area. The podium of the four Grand Slams is formed by **Angelique Kerber** (1), **Madison Keys** (2) and **Simona Halep** (3). Other outstanding players in this category are **Karolina Pliskova**, **Elise Mertens**, **Serena Williams**, and **Naomi Osaka**.

TABLE 17

% FIRST SERVICE IN - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% F. Serve	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	64%	21
2	18	Madison	Keys	62%	20
3	1	Simona	Halep	65%	18
4	8	Karolina	Pliskova	64%	17
5	15	Elise	Mertens	63%	17
6	16	Serena	Williams	63%	17
7	7	Naomi	Osaka	60%	17
8	9	Sloane	Stephens	72%	14
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	65%	15
10	22	Carla	Suarez Navarro	64%	15

TABLE 18

% FIRST SERVICE IN - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% F. Serve	Matches
1	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	66%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	64%	7
3	37	Elise	Mertens	65%	6
4	16	Angelique	Kerber	65%	6
5	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	71%	5
6	6	Karolina	Pliskova	65%	5
7	20	Madison	Keys	63%	5
8	4	Elina	Svitolina	58%	5
9	8	Caroline	Garcia	72%	4
10	24	Barbora	Strycova	69%	4

TABLE 19

% FIRST SERVICE IN - ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% F. Serve	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	74%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	64%	7
3	14	Daria	Kasatkina	73%	5
4	13	Madison	Keys	60%	6
5	98	Yulia	Putintseva	70%	5
6	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	58%	6
7	39	Lesia	Tsurenko	78%	4
8	12	Angelique	Kerber	60%	5
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	66%	4
10	33	Mihaela	Buzarnescu	66%	4

TABLE 20

% FIRST SERVICE IN - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% F. Serve	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	68%	7
2	181	Serena	Williams	66%	7
3	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	57%	6
4	13	Julia	Goerges	57%	6
5	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	64%	5
6	14	Daria	Kasatkina	62%	5
7	20	Kiki	Bertens	59%	5
8	48	Su-Wei	Hsieh	72%	4
9	50	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	72%	4
10	35	Ekaterina	Makarova	68%	4

TABLE 21

% FIRST SERVICE IN - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% F. Serve	Matches
1	19	Naomi	Osaka	61%	7
2	26	Serena	Williams	60%	7
3	3	Sloane	Stephens	74%	5
4	14	Madison	Keys	61%	6
5	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	60%	6
6	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	64%	5
7	8	Karolina	Pliskova	64%	5
8	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	62%	5
9	35	Dominika	Cibulkova	69%	4
10	44	Kaia	Kanepi	67%	4

Performance Ranking of the First Service Won

The **Percentage (%) of First Services** must be analyzed with the **Points Won** with the **First Service**. It is not enough to have a good percentage of first services in (they can be neutralized with winner returns), you must win the points also with the highest possible percentage. The "aim" and the "effectiveness" are like a thermometer of the performance of a tennis player, especially at the Grand Slam level. Note that **Angelique Kerber** is not among the gunner or great servers, but she leads the **Percentage of First Services In** and is second in **Points Won** with the **First Service**. **Madison Keys** escorts **Angelique Kerber**. Other players that stand out in the **Points Won** with the **First Service** are **Serena Williams**, **Naomi Osaka** and **Simona Halep**.

Remember, the performance ranking of the different aspects we analyze in this article are weighed by number of matches played, for example, in Table 22, Serena Williams has an average of 77% points won with the first service, while Madison Keys has a average of the 74%, but Madison Keys averaged that 74% in 20 matches and Serena Williams averaged 77% in 17 matches, the last one played three games less. Anyway, tennis is a sport of percentages and probabilities where every event is possible with its corresponding associated likelihood.

TABLE 22

POINTS WON WITH THE FIRST SERVICE - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	18	Madison	Keys	74%	20
2	3	Angelique	Kerber	66%	21
3	16	Serena	Williams	77%	17
4	7	Naomi	Osaka	72%	17
5	8	Karolina	Pliskova	70%	17
6	1	Simona	Halep	65%	18
7	15	Elise	Mertens	65%	17
8	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	67%	15
9	12	Kiki	Bertens	70%	14
10	22	Carla	Suarez Navarro	65%	15

TABLE 23

POINTS WON WITH THE FIRST SERVICE - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	69%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	66%	7
3	37	Elise	Mertens	67%	6
4	16	Angelique	Kerber	66%	6
5	20	Madison	Keys	72%	5
6	6	Karolina	Pliskova	71%	5
7	4	Elina	Svitolina	71%	5
8	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	62%	5
9	72	Naomi	Osaka	73%	4
10	8	Caroline	Garcia	69%	4

TABLE 24

POINTS WON WITH THE FIRST SERVICE - ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	66%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	64%	7
3	13	Madison	Keys	74%	6
4	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	67%	6
5	12	Angelique	Kerber	66%	5
6	98	Yulia	Putintseva	66%	5
7	14	Daria	Kasatkina	62%	5
8	7	Caroline	Garcia	67%	4
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	66%	4
10	33	Mihaela	Buzarnescu	66%	4

TABLE 25

POINTS WON WITH THE FIRST SERVICE - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	181	Serena	Williams	78%	7
2	10	Angelique	Kerber	68%	7
3	13	Julia	Goerges	75%	6
4	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	70%	6
5	20	Kiki	Bertens	72%	5
6	52	Camila	Giorgi	71%	5
7	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	70%	5
8	14	Daria	Kasatkina	65%	5
9	55	Donna	Vekic	77%	4
10	8	Karolina	Pliskova	70%	4

TABLE 26

POINTS WON WITH THE FIRST SERVICE - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	26	Serena	Williams	76%	7
2	19	Naomi	Osaka	72%	7
3	14	Madison	Keys	77%	6
4	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	67%	6
5	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	71%	5
6	8	Karolina	Pliskova	71%	5
7	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	64%	5
8	17	Ashleigh	Barty	78%	4
9	3	Sloane	Stephens	61%	5
10	20	Aryna	Sabalenka	74%	4

Performance Ranking of the Second Service In

In this section there are not comments because the protagonists are the same as in **Percentage (%) of First Services In.**, especially considering **Table 27.**

TABLE 27

% SECOND SERVICE IN - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% S. Serve	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	93%	21
2	18	Madison	Keys	94%	20
3	1	Simona	Halep	93%	18
4	7	Naomi	Osaka	93%	17
5	8	Karolina	Pliskova	90%	17
6	16	Serena	Williams	89%	17
7	15	Elise	Mertens	89%	17
8	22	Carla	Suarez Navarro	94%	15
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	91%	15
10	9	Sloane	Stephens	95%	14

TABLE 28

% SECOND SERVICE IN - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% S. Serve	Matches
1	1	Simona	Halep	92%	7
2	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	90%	7
3	16	Angelique	Kerber	95%	6
4	37	Elise	Mertens	85%	6
5	4	Elina	Svitolina	96%	5
6	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	95%	5
7	6	Karolina	Pliskova	92%	5
8	20	Madison	Keys	90%	5
9	8	Caroline	Garcia	96%	4
10	21	Magdalena	Rybarikova	94%	4

TABLE 29

% SECOND SERVICE IN - ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% S. Serve	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	98%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	93%	7
3	13	Madison	Keys	96%	6
4	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	90%	6
5	98	Yulia	Putintseva	90%	5
6	12	Angelique	Kerber	90%	5
7	14	Daria	Kasatkina	83%	5
8	7	Caroline	Garcia	94%	4
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	93%	4
10	26	Barbora	Strycova	92%	4

TABLE 30

% SECOND SERVICE IN - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% S. Serve	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	94%	7
2	181	Serena	Williams	90%	7
3	13	Julia	Goerges	89%	6
4	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	86%	6
5	20	Kiki	Bertens	87%	5
6	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	83%	5
7	52	Camila	Giorgi	82%	5
8	14	Daria	Kasatkina	79%	5
9	8	Karolina	Pliskova	93%	4
10	47	Alison	Van Uytvanck	92%	4

TABLE 31

% SECOND SERVICE IN - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% S. Serve	Matches
1	19	Naomi	Osaka	94%	7
2	26	Serena	Williams	89%	7
3	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	96%	6
4	14	Madison	Keys	95%	6
5	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	93%	5
6	3	Sloane	Stephens	92%	5
7	8	Karolina	Pliskova	88%	5
8	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	84%	5
9	35	Dominika	Cibulkova	94%	4
10	15	Elise	Mertens	92%	4

Performance Ranking of the Second Service Won

There is a high correlation between those who won points with the first service and with the second. They are practically the same protagonists and almost the same **performance ranking** of points won with the first and second service. When the serve comes in, the ranking of the percentage of effectiveness in the points won with the first service is almost the same as with the second (They are in the **Top 10**). It would be necessary to analyze this issue for all the players. Surely this correlation will not remain so high. Here we are only analyzing the **Top 10**'s performance in the Grand Slams. It is logical to think that if a player is in the **Top 10** of performance at the Grand Slams is due to that she has served as good with the first as with the second service, otherwise he would not appear in these tables. To obtain a top performance at a Grand Slams or at the four ones, the players need to play very well and that means serving right, returning right, have an excellent physical and mental state, etc. It is not necessary continue explaining more, the most outstanding players of the four Grand Slams this season are repeated page after page in this article and the tables are self-explanatory.

TABLE 32

% POINTS WON WITH SECOND SERVICE - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	50%	21
2	18	Madison	Keys	50%	20
3	1	Simona	Halep	49%	18
4	7	Naomi	Osaka	49%	17
5	16	Serena	Williams	48%	17
6	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	52%	15
7	22	Carla	Suarez Navarro	51%	15
8	8	Karolina	Pliskova	45%	17
9	15	Elise	Mertens	45%	17
10	9	Sloane	Stephens	55%	14

TABLE 33

% POINTS WON WITH SECOND SERVICE - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	51%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	48%	7
3	16	Angelique	Kerber	52%	6
4	37	Elise	Mertens	45%	6
5	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	53%	5
6	20	Madison	Keys	50%	5
7	4	Elina	Svitolina	50%	5
8	6	Karolina	Pliskova	47%	5
9	24	Barbora	Strycova	51%	4
10	21	Magdalena	Rybarikova	49%	4

TABLE 34

% POINTS WON WITH SECOND SERVICE - ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	62%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	54%	7
3	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	50%	6
4	13	Madison	Keys	50%	6
5	98	Yulia	Putintseva	55%	5
6	12	Angelique	Kerber	48%	5
7	26	Barbora	Strycova	55%	4
8	7	Caroline	Garcia	53%	4
9	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	53%	4
10	14	Daria	Kasatkina	39%	5

TABLE 35

% POINTS WON WITH SECOND SERVICE - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	50%	7
2	181	Serena	Williams	48%	7
3	13	Julia	Goerges	49%	6
4	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	47%	6
5	20	Kiki	Bertens	49%	5
6	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	48%	5
7	14	Daria	Kasatkina	45%	5
8	52	Camila	Giorgi	44%	5
9	47	Alison	Van Uytvanck	50%	4
10	55	Donna	Vekic	49%	4

TABLE 36

% POINTS WON WITH SECOND SERVICE - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Points Won	Matches
1	19	Naomi	Osaka	57%	7
2	26	Serena	Williams	50%	7
3	14	Madison	Keys	52%	6
4	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	47%	6
5	3	Sloane	Stephens	51%	5
6	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	50%	5
7	44	Kaia	Kanepi	58%	4
8	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	44%	5
9	8	Karolina	Pliskova	44%	5
10	103	Marketa	Vondrousova	50%	4

Performance Ranking of the First Return Points Won

Table 37 shows the performance ranking of the Top 10 regarding the First Return Points Won. We can appreciate in the tables that, the best servers, in general, are also good returners.

TABLE 37

FIRST RETURN POINTS WON - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	46%	21
2	1	Simona	Halep	45%	18
3	18	Madison	Keys	40%	20
4	15	Elise	Mertens	39%	17
5	16	Serena	Williams	39%	17
6	7	Naomi	Osaka	39%	17
7	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	43%	15
8	13	Daria	Kasatkina	43%	14
9	9	Sloane	Stephens	42%	14
10	8	Karolina	Pliskova	35%	17

TABLE 38

FIRST RETURN POINTS WON - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	42%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	41%	7
3	16	Angelique	Kerber	48%	6
4	37	Elise	Mertens	40%	6
5	4	Elina	Svitolina	44%	5
6	20	Madison	Keys	43%	5
7	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	36%	5
8	6	Karolina	Pliskova	34%	5
9	24	Barbora	Strycova	42%	4
10	130	Denisa	Allertova	40%	4

TABLE 39

FIRST RETURN POINTS WON – ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	1	Simona	Halep	49%	7
2	10	Sloane	Stephens	43%	7
3	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	45%	6
4	13	Madison	Keys	40%	6
5	14	Daria	Kasatkina	47%	5
6	12	Angelique	Kerber	46%	5
7	98	Yulia	Putintseva	38%	5
8	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	45%	4
9	33	Mihaela	Buzarnescu	45%	4
10	39	Lesia	Tsurenko	44%	4

TABLE 40

FIRST RETURN POINTS WON - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	43%	7
2	181	Serena	Williams	39%	7
3	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	39%	6
4	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	41%	5
5	14	Daria	Kasatkina	41%	5
6	20	Kiki	Bertens	39%	5
7	13	Julia	Goerges	31%	6
8	52	Camila	Giorgi	36%	5
9	48	Su-Wei	Hsieh	41%	4
10	50	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	41%	4

TABLE 41

FIRST RETURN POINTS WON - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	19	Naomi	Osaka	43%	7
2	26	Serena	Williams	42%	7
3	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	43%	6
4	14	Madison	Keys	39%	6
5	3	Sloane	Stephens	45%	5
6	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	41%	5
7	8	Karolina	Pliskova	38%	5
8	22	Maria	Sharapova	47%	4
9	7	Elina	Svitolina	43%	4
10	35	Dominika	Cibulkova	40%	4

Performance Ranking of the Second Return Points Won

Finally, we see the tables corresponding to the performance of the **Return of the Second Service**, an aspect that coaches must work hard because it is about breaking the service to the rival. The protagonists are practically the same as in previous tables. In this article I have concentrated only on the **TOP 10**. I plan to develop an analysis like this at the end of the season considering all the tournaments and surfaces. In that report we will see the **performance ranking** of the entire season 2018.

I finish my work here, I hope this information is useful for you. See you in the next delivery. I am thinking made this type of analysis for the **WTA Premier** of the 2018 season and extending it to the **Top 20** to include more players. The annual **performance ranking** (GPI ranking) report is for the **Top 100** and the calculation includes 100% of the **WTA International, WTA Premier, WTA 125K Series, Fed Cup**, and **Grand Slams** tournaments and is calculated by area (Hard, clay and grass).

TABLE 42

SECOND RETURN POINTS WON - TOTAL GRAND SLAMS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	3	Angelique	Kerber	58%	21
2	18	Madison	Keys	55%	20
3	15	Elise	Mertens	64%	17
4	1	Simona	Halep	59%	18
5	8	Karolina	Pliskova	56%	17
6	7	Naomi	Osaka	55%	17
7	16	Serena	Williams	54%	17
8	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	61%	15
9	10	Jelena	Ostapenko	65%	13
10	9	Sloane	Stephens	59%	14

TABLE 43

SECOND RETURN POINTS WON - AUSTRALIAN OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	1	Simona	Halep	60%	7
2	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	57%	7
3	37	Elise	Mertens	60%	6
4	16	Angelique	Kerber	57%	6
5	6	Karolina	Pliskova	62%	5
6	4	Elina	Svitolina	60%	5
7	20	Madison	Keys	58%	5
8	39	Carla	Suarez Navarro	51%	5
9	130	Denisa	Allertova	60%	4
10	81	Petra	Martic	59%	4

TABLE 44

SECOND RETURN POINTS WON - ROLAND GARROS

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	10	Sloane	Stephens	65%	7
2	1	Simona	Halep	63%	7
3	3	Garbiñe	Muguruza	60%	6
4	13	Madison	Keys	53%	6
5	16	Elise	Mertens	73%	4
6	12	Angelique	Kerber	57%	5
7	2	Caroline	Wozniacki	70%	4
8	98	Yulia	Putintseva	53%	5
9	14	Daria	Kasatkina	53%	5
10	30	Maria	Sharapova	62%	4

TABLE 45

SECOND RETURN POINTS WON - WIMBLEDON

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	10	Angelique	Kerber	62%	7
2	12	Jelena	Ostapenko	67%	6
3	181	Serena	Williams	50%	7
4	13	Julia	Goerges	58%	6
5	14	Daria	Kasatkina	63%	5
6	33	Dominika	Cibulkova	61%	5
7	52	Camila	Giorgi	56%	5
8	50	Aliaksandra	Sasnovich	66%	4
9	20	Kiki	Bertens	52%	5
10	48	Su-Wei	Hsieh	61%	4

TABLE 46

SECOND RETURN POINTS WON - US OPEN

Performance	WTA Rkn	Name	Surname	% Return	Matches
1	26	Serena	Williams	61%	7
2	19	Naomi	Osaka	59%	7
3	18	Anastasija	Sevastova	64%	6
4	14	Madison	Keys	55%	6
5	24	Carla	Suarez Navarro	57%	5
6	36	Lesia	Tsurenko	54%	5
7	8	Karolina	Pliskova	53%	5
8	3	Sloane	Stephens	53%	5
9	15	Elise	Mertens	63%	4
10	22	Maria	Sharapova	61%	4